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Postharvest decay organisms

Fungi (eukaryotic organisms):
- Most important
- Mostly members of the Ascomycetes and Fungi imperfecti
- Propagation and dissemination by abundantly produced, mostly asexual spores
- Infection through wounds or sometimes through intact fruit surface.

Bacteria (prokaryotic organisms):
- Mostly pathogens of vegetables
- *Erwinia carotovora* is the most important postharvest pathogen causing a soft rot.
- Infections only through wounds.
Major postharvest decays of pome fruits

Gray mold decay of Bosc and Asian pear caused by *Botrytis cinerea*

Penicillium decay of Bosc pear caused by *Penicillium expansum*

Alternaria decay of Asian pear caused by *Alternaria* sp.

Anthracnose of apple caused by *Colletotrichum acutatum*
Postharvest decays of stone fruits

Brown rot (*Monilinia fructicola*)
Gray mold (*Botrytis cinerea*)
Rhizopus rot (*Rhizopus stolonifer*)
Sour rot (*Geotrichum candidum*)

Infection through wounds and of senescent tissues

Gray mold
Infection through wounds and of senescent tissues

Sour rot
Infection through wounds of ripe fruit

Rhizopus rot
Infection through wounds
Postharvest decays of citrus

Green mold caused by *Penicillium digitatum* (most important on citrus)

Blue mold caused by *P. italicum* and green mold

Brown rot caused by *Phytophthora* spp. Infection through intact tissue.

*Penicillium* spp. are wound pathogens

Penicillium soilage
Major postharvest decays of citrus

Sour rot caused by *Geotrichum citri-aaurantii*

Alternaria decay caused by *Alternaria* sp.

Tear stain and anthracnose caused by *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*

Stem end rot caused by *Botryodiplodia theobromae*
Postharvest decays of pomegranates and kiwifruit

Gray mold caused by *Botrytis cinerea*

Infection through flower parts

Infection through cut stem ends at harvest
Major postharvest decays of strawberry

Gray mold decay caused by *Botrytis cinerea*

Anthracnose caused by *Colletotrichum acutatum*
Postharvest decay organisms

Penetration through wounds – Wound pathogens:

• Most common
• Only minor wounds required (micro-wounds).
• Wounds commonly occur before harvest (insect injuries, wind damage, etc.) or more frequently during and after harvest during handling, transport, packaging.
• **Goal in postharvest handling: Minimize fruit injuries.**

Penetration of intact fruit:

• Through surface of mature fruit.
• Quiescent infections that are established early during fruit growth but remain inactive until the fruit matures.
• Colonization of flower parts, invasion of maturing fruit
Infection by postharvest decay fungi

Conidiophore and conidia (asexual spores) of *Botrytis cinerea*

Spore germination: requires water, oxygen, and sometimes nutrients

Host infection:
Penetration (through wounds or directly), inter- and intracellular growth. Enzymatic activities dissolve host cell walls and contents. Sometimes production of toxins that kill host cells.
- The Disease Triangle of Plant Pathology -
  - A re-occurring interaction of host, pathogen and environment -

- Host
- Pathogen
- Environment

Repeated Events

Conducive parameters during storage, transportation, marketing

Physiology, optimum harvest date

Identification, biology, ecology
Principles of Plant Disease Management

• Preventative (population)
  – Avoidance of the pathogen (Cultural practices)
  – Host resistance (Resistant varieties)
  – Exclusion (Quarantines and Sorting/Grading)*
  – Eradication (Eliminating or reducing inoculum - Sanitation)*
  – Protection/Prevention (Chemical or biological or physical treatments – Cold temperature)*

• Curative (individual)
  – Therapy (Physical or chemical treatments)

* - Main postharvest practices for susceptible crop.
Micro-organisms in stem punctures, pits, injuries, natural cracks, or bruises, residual activity

Disinfection of non-injured commodity surfaces and of micro-organisms in water

Preventative Practices - Eradication

Sanitation washes using oxidizing materials (chlorine, ozone, peroxide, etc.)
### Comparison between postharvest sanitation and fungicide treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Delivery System</th>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Dis-advantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Gas or liquid</td>
<td>Fruit surface/In solution</td>
<td>Inexpensive, effective at low rates</td>
<td>Sensitive to pH and organic load; corrosive; reactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine dioxide</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>On-site generation</td>
<td>Fruit surface/In solution</td>
<td>Less sensitive to organic load</td>
<td>Initial cost of equipment; corrosive; training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone</td>
<td>Water (low solubility)/Air</td>
<td>On-site generation</td>
<td>In solution, but poor solubility; Air: anti-sporulation</td>
<td>Non-chlorine based, no disposal issues</td>
<td>Poor water solubility, initial cost of equipment; corrosive; training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidified hydrogen peroxide</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Liquid</td>
<td>Fruit surface/In solution; some wound activity</td>
<td>Less sensitive to organic load and pH, no disposal issues</td>
<td>Conc. limits, cost, some sensitivity to Cl, pH, and organic load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postharvest fungicide (e.g., Scholar)</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Dry or liquid Formulation</td>
<td>Wound protection</td>
<td>Highly effective</td>
<td>Residues; safety concerns; export tolerances (MRLs)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Preventative Practices

**Strategies of postharvest decay control for protection, suppression, or eradication of decay**

*Altering the micro-environment*

- Treatments with indirect effects on pathogen:
  - Change in pH
- Treatments with direct effects on pathogen:
  - **Biocontrols:** Competition, antibiosis, parasitism
  - **Fungicides:** Direct toxicity

*Altering the host physiology and susceptibility*

- Indirect effect on pathogen
- Plant growth regulators (PGRs)
  - Gibberellin (citrus)
  - 2,4-D (citrus)
  - Ethylene biosynthesis inhibitors?
- Effective against weak pathogens
Chlorination in a hydrocooler (re-circulating)

Chlorination on a brush bed (non-re-circulating)

Critical factors

Concentration
Contact time
pH
Organic load
Temperature

Concentration
Contact time
pH
Altering the micro-environment

Treatments with indirect effects on the pathogen:
- Change in pH
- Alkaline solutions of borax, sodium carbonate (soda ash), and sodium bicarbonate
- Accumulation of acid in potential infection sites, (e.g. SO$_2$)

Treatments with direct effects on pathogen:
- **Biocontrols**: Competition, antibiosis, parasitism
- **Fungicides**: Direct toxicity
Borax, sodium carbonate (soda ash), and sodium bicarbonate

- **Change in pH**
  - Accumulation of alkali in potential infection sites on fruit surface
- **Germination of pathogen spores is inhibited (fungistatic action)**
  - Heated solutions are more toxic
- **Disadvantages**
  - Change in pH is gradually reversed by acid fruit juice
  - Fruit staining
  - Fruit dehydration
  - No residual activity
Usage of borax, sodium carbonate (soda ash), and sodium bicarbonate in postharvest treatments of lemons

Wash with chlorine and detergent

方向 of fruit movement

soda ash tank
Usage of borax, sodium carbonate (soda ash), and sodium bicarbonate in postharvest treatments of lemons

Treatment with heated soda ash

Water rinse after soda ash treatment
Altering the micro-environment

**Treatments with indirect effects on the pathogen:**
- Change in pH
- Alkaline solutions of borax, sodium carbonate, and sodium bicarbonate

**Treatments with direct effects on pathogen:**
- **Biocontrols:** Competition, antibiosis, parasitism
- **Fungicides:** Direct toxicity
Biocontrols:

Competition, antibiosis, parasitism

- Development is driven by safety concerns
- Activity from laboratory experiments is difficult to transfer into a commercial scale
- No activity against existing infections (infections that occur at harvest)
- Efficacy is generally inconsistent and never complete
- Previously, 2 products registered:
  Aspire (Candida oleophila), no longer manufactured
  Bio-Save (Pseudomonas syringae), still in use
Bio-Save 10 LP

**ACTIVE INGREDIENT:**
*Pseudomonas syringae* Strain ESC-10 .................................. 29.8%

**INERT INGREDIENTS:** .......................................................... 70.2%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>100.0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Note: Contains a minimum of $9 \times 10^{10}$ colony forming units per gram of formulated product.

**STORAGE AND DISPOSAL:**

Do not contaminate water, food or feed by storage or disposal.

**CONTAINER DISPOSAL:**
Put empty container in trash. Do not re-use empty container.

**WARRANTY STATEMENT:**

EcoScience Produce Systems Corp’s warranties do not cover the use of this product and are based upon those believed to be reliable. The use of this product being beyond the control of the manufacturer, no guarantee express or implied, is made as to the effects of such products or those to be obtained if used by anyone in accordance with directions or instructions of the manufacturer. The user must assume all responsibility, including injury or damage, resulting from the use of the product, or in combination with other materials.

**ECOSCIENCE PRODUCE SYSTEMS CORP’S LIABILITY FOR ANY MALFUNCTION OR NONFUNCTION OF THIS PRODUCT SHALL BE LIMITED TO THE ACTUAL COST OF REPLACEMENT OF THE PRODUCT, AND SHALL NOT, IN ANY EVENT, EXCEED THE ORIGINAL PURCHASE PRICE THEREOF.**

**ECOSCIENCE PRODUCE SYSTEMS CORP SHALL UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES BE LIABLE FOR INCIDENTAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES RESULTING FROM ANY DEFECTS OR ALLEGED DEFECTS IN THIS PRODUCT, EXCEPT TO THE EXTENT THAT AN ALLOWANCE FOR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES IS MANDATED BY APPLICABLE LAW.**

**ECOSCIENCE PRODUCE SYSTEMS CORP SHALL HAVE NO LIABILITY FOR ANY CLAIM ARISING FROM THE USE OF THIS PRODUCT OR ANY USE OTHER THAN THAT FOR WHICH IT WAS SPECIFICALLY DESIGNED.**

Agent of EcoScience Produce Systems Corp. is authorized to make any instructions beyond these contained herein.

---

The biocontrol Bio-Save is registered for postharvest use.
# Spectrum of Activity of Biocontrols for Postharvest Decay Control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biocontrol</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Crops</th>
<th>Decays</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td><em>Pseudomonas syringae</em></td>
<td>Apples, pears, citrus, Sweet cherry</td>
<td>Penicillium Decays, Gray mold, Penicillium decays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast</td>
<td><em>Candida oleophila</em></td>
<td>Pome fruit, Citrus</td>
<td>Penicillium Decays</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Biocontrol products registered in other countries

- **YieldPlus** (*Cryptococcus albidus*) – developed in South Africa for pome fruit
- **Avogreen** (*Bacillus subtilis*) – South Africa for avocado
- **Shemer** (*Metschnikowia fructicola*) – Israel for apricot, peach, citrus, grapes, pepper, strawberry, sweet potato
- Several other products based on *Candida sake*, *Candida oleophila*, and *Aureobasidium pullulans*, are in development.
## Postharvest treatments approved for organic produce and their limitations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sodium bicarbonate</td>
<td>Short-lived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium chloride and other chlorine products (with their rates defined by OMRI)</td>
<td>Only water and surface-disinfestation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted ethanol (not in the US)</td>
<td>Highly regulated by government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>Cost, damaging to some crops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV irradiation</td>
<td>Cost, damaging to some crops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biocontrol agents</td>
<td>Inconsistent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Prevention, suppression, and eradiction of postharvest decays

#### Fungicides vs. biological controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungicides</th>
<th>Biological controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single synthetic active ingredient</td>
<td>Mixtures of active and inactive ingredients. Active ingredient often unknown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well characterized chemically and toxicologically</td>
<td>Chemically and toxicologically often poorly characterized, but considered natural.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficacy generally high</td>
<td>Efficacy variable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Development of Fungicides for Management of Plant Diseases

Initially, developed as simple elements or organic compounds that are non-systemic in plant tissue, and have a low-resistance potential to target organisms......

but over time, they have been developed as more complex organic compounds, that may be systemic in plant tissue, and have a high-resistance potential to target organisms.
Fungicides have a specific spectrum of activity and, in most cases, are suitable for a limited number of crops.
Classes of postharvest fungicides

- Compounds within each fungicide class have:
  - Similar chemical structures
  - A similar mode of action that targets either a single site or multiple sites in the biochemical pathways of the fungus

- Cross-resistance may occur among compounds within the same chemical class
## Important older postharvest fungicides for citrus and pome fruits that are still being used today

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residual Fungicide</th>
<th>Class/Grouping</th>
<th>Crops</th>
<th>Decays</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SOPP</td>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>Citrus</td>
<td>Penicillium decay, sour rot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiabendazole</td>
<td>Benzimidazole</td>
<td>Citrus, pome fruit</td>
<td>Penicillium decay, gray mold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imazalil</td>
<td>SBI-Imidazole</td>
<td>Citrus</td>
<td>Penicillium Decays</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Towards safer postharvest decay control materials

Re-registration requirements of older pesticides

**Reduced Risk Pesticides** (an EPA Classification)

- A relative term that is applied to a pesticide as compared to currently registered pesticides of a crop group.
- A pesticide that broadens the adoption of IPM practices or reduces:
  - Exposure risk to humans
  - Potential toxicity to non-target organisms
  - Contamination of the environment

Only reduced-risk fungicides will be registered for postharvest use in the US
Benefits of postharvest reduced-risk fungicides to prevent decay

Untreated and postharvest treated (Scholar) peaches and sweet cherries
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungicide</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Crops</th>
<th>Decays</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tebuconazole</td>
<td>SBI-Triazole</td>
<td>Sweet cherry</td>
<td>Brown rot, Rhizopus, and Mucor decays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fludioxonil</td>
<td>Phenylpyrrole</td>
<td>Stone fruit, pome fruit, pomegranate, kiwifruit, citrus, <strong>tuber crops</strong></td>
<td>Brown rot, gray mold, Rhizopus Rot, Penicillium decays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azoxystrobin</td>
<td>QoI</td>
<td>Citrus potato</td>
<td>Penicillium decays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenhexamid</td>
<td>Hydroxyanilide</td>
<td>Stone fruit, pome fruit, pomegranate, kiwifruit</td>
<td>Brown rot, gray mold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrimethanil</td>
<td>Anilinopyrimidine</td>
<td>Stone fruit, pome fruit, pomegranate, kiwifruit</td>
<td>Penicillium decays, brown rot, gray mold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difenoconazole</td>
<td>SBI-Triazole</td>
<td><strong>Pome fruit, tuber crops</strong></td>
<td>Penicillium decays, Bull’s eye rot Rhizopus rot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propiconazole</td>
<td>SBI-Triazole</td>
<td>Stone fruit, citrus, tomato</td>
<td>Penicillium decays, brown rot, gray mold, sour rot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fungicide is already registered

*new registrations or proposals are in bold italics*
Application of postharvest fungicide treatments

- Drenches
- High volume sprayers
- Low volume sprayers (CDA)

Less common:
- Dips
- Flooders
- Foamers
- Brushes
- Fumigators
- Dusters
- Paper wraps
- Box liners
Application of postharvest fungicide treatments

- High volume applications: 100-200 gal/ton of fruit
- Low volume applications: 8-30 gal/ton of fruit

Low volume application systems have become more popular because of very little run-off and no disposal problems
Application methods for postharvest fungicide treatments

High-volume spray application ('T-Jet')
Application methods for postharvest fungicide treatments

Low-volume spray application
(Controlled droplet application - CDA)
Application methods for postharvest fungicide treatments

Dip application
Application methods for postharvest fungicide treatments

Flooder application
Application methods for postharvest fungicide treatments

Flooder application
Application methods for postharvest fungicide treatments

Fogging
Application of postharvest fungicide treatments

- Aqueous applications
- Application in wax-oil emulsions
  - Not all fruit coatings are considered food-grade in different international markets
  - Prevention of water loss while still permitting gas exchange
  - Increase of shine of fruit
# Common fruit coatings used in postharvest treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of wax</th>
<th>Prevention of water loss</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Use on specific crops</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gas exchange</td>
<td>Shine of fruit*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral oil non-emulsified</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral oil emulsified</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetable oils</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnauba</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shellac</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood rosin blends</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Shine of fruit is not important for peaches and plums.
- Carnauba coatings are made from leaves of the Brazilian life tree. Shellac coatings are made from insect exudates. Wood rosins (ester derivatives) are extracted from pine trees.
- Mixtures of polyethylene, carnauba, shellac, and wood rosins are also used on citrus.
- Mixtures of carnauba and shellac are also used on pome fruits.
Postharvest fungicide treatments as a component of postharvest handling

Example: Lemons in California

Fruit arrival

→ Sorting

Chlorine wash, soda ash treatment, water rinse

Application of fungicide and fruit coating
Storage wax application

Bulk packing in bins

Storage for up to 3 months

Pack wax application

Boxing, shipping, marketing
Use limits of pesticides

Residue tolerance: Maximum residue of a chemical that is allowed on a specific commodity.

Risk assessment based on:
- Toxicological characteristics of chemical
- Amount of human consumption of a specific commodity.
Calculations and Verification for Proper Delivery of Fungicide to Fruit

- **Fruit Weight**
  - Bin count per time
  - Fruit weight per treatment bed per time

- **Fungicide Weight per Volume**
  (Delivery rate)
  - Concentration and Flow Rate
    * Tank Mix
    * In-Line Injection

- **Sampling and residue measurements of the fungicide on the commodity** are *routinely* done and *monitored* by regulatory agencies
Stewardship of Postharvest Fungicide Treatments

Proper use to ensure food and environmental safety, as well as high-quality nutritious fruits and vegetables.

Prevention of resistance in pathogen populations to fungicides

- *Rotate* between fungicide classes
- *Use* labeled rates
- *Limit* the total number of applications
- *Education* of spectrum of activity
- *Sanitation* is essential in an integrated management program
Conclusions

Chemical treatments in postharvest decay management

- Maximum efforts have been placed on:
  - Food safety (EPA's 'Reduced-risk' fungicides)
    - No mammalian activity at registered rates
    - Lower rates (parts per million quantities)
    - Specific to target plant pathogens
  Delivery of high quality nutritious fruits and vegetables with minimal losses to growers, packers, and distributors

- Development and proper stewardship of integrated management programs cooperatively with land grant research and extension programs and federal/state regulatory agencies.
Use limits of pesticides

- **Residue tolerances** must be established for all postharvest chemical treatments except for those that are exempt:
  - EPA – exempt designation or
  - FDA – **GRAS** (Generally Regarded as Safe) designation

Examples for GRAS compounds: chlorine, potassium sorbate, potassium bisulfite, sulfur

- **Residue tolerances - Maximum residue limits (MRLs)**
  = Maximum residue of a chemical that is allowed to remain on the fruit – determined by EPA.

Set below the amount that could pose a health concern.

Different for different countries – based on consumer habits and risk analysis
### Examples of maximum residue limits (MRLs) - US

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungicide</th>
<th>MRL</th>
<th>LD$_{50}$ rat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fludioxonil</td>
<td>Stone fruit: 5 mg/kg</td>
<td>&gt;5000 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenhexamid</td>
<td>Stone fruit: 10 mg/kg</td>
<td>&gt;2000 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrimethanil</td>
<td>Citrus: 7 mg/kg</td>
<td>&gt;5000 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mg/kg = ppm
Useful Publications - Books:

Postharvest Technology of Horticultural Crops
Edited by A. A. Kader

Postharvest Pathology
Edited by D. Prusky and M. L. Gullino
Useful Websites (for fungicides):

Labels and MSDS information:  
http://www.cdms.net/manuf/manuf.asp

Maximum Residue Limit (MRL) or Tolerance information:  
http://www.mrldatabase.com/

EPA Fact sheets on new active ingredients:  
http://www.epa.gov/opprd001/factsheets/  
http://www.epa.gov/oppfead1/trac/safero.htm

Research:  
http://californiaagriculture.ucanr.org/Landingpage.cfm?article=ca.v059n02p109&fulltext=yes
Useful Websites (Postharvest Companies):

**Service companies -**

**Decco:**
http://www.deccous.com/

**JBT (formerly FMC):**

**Pace International:**
http://www.paceint.com/

**Fungicide companies -**

**Syngenta Postharvest University:**
http://www.farmassist.com/postharvest/index.asp?nav=contact

**Janssen PMP:**
http://www.janssenpmp.com/